

METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607-4700 TEL 510.817.5700 TTY/TDD 510.817.5769 EAX 510.817.5848 E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

October 26, 2007

Bill Dodd, Chair Napa Commy and Cities

Scott Haggerty, Vice Chair Mameda County

Tom Ammiano City and County of San Francisco

> Tom Azumbrado U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Decelopment

> > Tom Bates Cares of Alameda County

Bob Blanchard Sonoma County and Cities

Dean J. Chu Cities of Santa Clara County

Dave Cortese Association of Bay Area Governments

Dorene M. Giacopini
U.S. Deparament of Transportation

Federal D. Glover

Anne W. Halsted San Francisco Bay Conservation

> Steve Kinsey Marm County and Cities

Sue Lempert Cities of San Mateo County

Jon Rubin San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Bijun Sartipi State Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

> James P. Spering Solano County and Caties

Adrienne J. Tissier San Mateo County

Amy Worth
Caries of Coura Costa County

Ken Yeager Santa Clara County

Steve Heminger Executive Director

Ann Flemer
Depaty Executive Director, Operations

Andrew B. Fremier
Deputy Executive Director,
Bay Area Toll Authority

Therese W. McMillan Deputy Executive Director, Policy Mr. Mehdi Morshed Executive Director California High-Speed Rail Authority 925 L Street, Suite 1425 Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: California High-Speed Rail Authority, Bay Area to Central Valley Draft EIR/EIS Comments.

Dear Mr. Morshed:

As you know, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission adopted Resolution No. 3829 (see attached) on October 24, 2007, which contains its comments on the California High Speed Rail Authority's (CHSRAs) Bay Area to Central Valley Draft EIR/EIS. In summary, MTC:

1. Supports building a statewide high-speed rail (HSR) system – HSR has the potential to reduce local and statewide vehicle congestion, divert air passenger demand away from congested airports, and reduce statewide greenhouse gas emissions.

2. Re-confirms support for the Pacheco alignment, as previously stated in MTC Resolution 3198, as the main HSR express line between Northern and Southern California as outlined in #3 and 4 below, and supports improvements in the Altamont corridor, as described in #5, 6 and 7 below, to serve interregional and local travel between the Bay Area and the Northern San Joaquin Valley.

3. Supports the Pacheco alignment due to several of the reasons stated in MTC Resolution No. 3198:

- has the highest statewide ridership demand, and best serves HSR's key market Northern California to Southern California, connecting the two most congested regions in the state
- provides direct service to all three major cities San Francisco, San Jose and Oakland
- avoids construction of a new bay crossing or tube required by the Altamont Pass entry for San Francisco service.
- 4. Recommends a new Pacheco alignment that routes all trains up the San Francisco peninsula through San Jose and San Francisco, with a connecting Transbay tube to Oakland. This variant provides a superior operating plan compared to the previous Commission adopted Pacheco alignment with all three cities on a single line, is about \$2 billion less than the previous alignment, avoids duplication with BART/Capitol Corridor/ACE, avoids risk of negotiating with Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) for East

L017-4

L017-1

L017-2

L017-3

L017-5

Bay rail right of way needs and avoids construction within the I-880 freeway in Santa Clara County.

L017-5 Cont.

L017-6

- 5. Endorses the Altamont route as better suited to serve interregional and local travel between the Bay Area and the Northern San Joaquin Valley. At the same time the Pacheco pass alignment is being built, the CHSRA should upgrade interregional services between Peninsula Tri Valley Sacramento & San Joaquin Valley. As a first step, ACE service can be improved by adding tracks and improving signaling to provide higher speed and more reliable service that would connect with a future BART station in Livermore (Greenville Road or Isabel/Stanley based on further BART analyses); these improvements would need to be compatible with future HSR. Electrification of ACE trains should be implemented once the UPRR tracks have been acquired. An electrified regional train capable of higher speeds, with additional grade separations would improve road circulation, and would also be compatible with lightweight equipment operating in the Dumbarton corridor.
- 6. Requests that the CHSRA also evaluate an alternative in the Altamont corridor that terminates HSR at a proposed BART Livermore station where HSR passengers could be dispersed to Bay Area locations throughout the BART system, together with improved ACE service to Santa Clara County.
- 7. Requests that CHSRA consider seeking additional HSR bond funds dedicated to upgrading the Altamont corridor for regional service.

If you or your staff has any questions regarding these comments, please contact Doug Kimsey of our staff by phone at 510.817.5790 or email at <a href="https://dx.doi.org/dkimsey/dkim

L017-9

MTC looks forward to working with you and the Authority in helping deliver HSR to California and the Bay Area.

 \mathcal{A} .

Steve Heminger Executive Director

cc: Honorable Gavin Newson, Mayor of San Francisco Honorable Chuck Reed, Mayor of San Jose Honorable Ron Dellums, Mayor of Oakland

SH: DK
J:\PROJECT\HSR_RR_Study\HSR Element\DEIR-DEIS\CHSRA Comment Letter.doc
Attachments

Date:

October 24, 2007

Referred by:

Planning Committee

ABSTRACT Resolution No. 3829

This resolution adopts MTC's comments on the California High Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Report for Potential High Speed Rail Service into the Bay Area and authorized the Executive Director or his designee to transmit those comments to the CHSRA.

Further discussion of this action is contained in the MTC "Executive Director's Memorandum" dated October 5, 2007.

Date:

October 24, 2007

Referred by:

Planning Committee

RE: Adopts MTC's comments on the California High Speed Rail Authority (CHSRA) Draft
Environmental Impact Statement/Report for Potential High Speed Rail Service into the Bay
Area and authorized the Executive Director or his designee to transmit those comments to
the CHSRA.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 3829

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority), established pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 185000 et seq., is developing a proposal to finance and construct a statewide high speed rail system for voter consideration on the November, 2008 statewide ballot; and

WHEREAS, the Authority has released a Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Report for potential high speed rail service into the Bay Area, with a close of comment date of October 26, 2007; and

WHEREAS, MTC has assisted the Authority in discharging its duties by providing travel forecasting information, other technical assistance, and co-hosting public outreach workshops in the Bay Area; and

WHEREAS, it is in the best interest of the region for MTC to express its recommendations on the Bay Area entry alignment and terminal locations prior to the Authority's final selection; and

WHEREAS, MTC previously took a position to support the Pacheco Pass alignment into the Bay Area based on its higher ridership, service distribution characteristics, compared to the Altamont Pass; and

WHEREAS, it is in the further interest of the region that MTC clarify its position with respect to these issues; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that MTC:

- 1. Support building a statewide high-speed rail system HSR has the potential to reduce local and statewide vehicle congestion, divert air passenger demand away from congested airports, and reduce statewide greenhouse gas emissions.
- 2. Re-confirm support for the Pacheco alignment as the main HSR express line between Northern and Southern California as outlined in #3 and 4 below and support improvements in the Altamont corridor, as described in #5, 6 and 7 below, to serve interregional and local travel between the Bay Area and the Northern San Joaquin Valley.
- 3. Support the Pacheco alignment due to several of the reasons stated in Resolution No. 3198:
 - has the highest statewide ridership demand, and best serves HSR's key market - Northern California to Southern California, connecting the two most congested regions in the state
 - provides direct service to all three major cities San Francisco, San Jose and Oakland
 - avoids construction of a new bay crossing or tube required by the Altamont Pass entry for San Francisco service
- 4. Recommend a new Pacheco alignment that routes all trains up the San Francisco peninsula through San Jose and San Francisco, with a connecting Transbay tube to Oakland. This variant provides a superior operating plan compared to the previous Commission adopted Pacheco alignment with all three cities on a single line, is about \$2 billion less than the previous alignment, avoids duplication with BART/Capitol Corridor/ACE, avoids risk of negotiating with Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) for East Bay rail right of way needs and avoids construction within the I-880 freeway in Santa Clara County.
- 5. Endorse the Altamont route as better suited to serve interregional and local travel between the Bay Area and the Northern San Joaquin Valley. At the same time the Pacheco pass alignment is being built, the CHSRA should upgrade interregional services between Peninsula Tri Valley Sacramento & San Joaquin Valley. As a first step, ACE service can be improved by adding tracks and improving signaling to provide higher speed and more reliable service that would connect with a future BART station in Livermore (Greenville Road or Isabel/Stanley based on further BART analyses); these improvements would need to be compatible with future HSR. Electrification of ACE trains should be implemented once the UPRR tracks have been

- acquired. An electrified regional train capable of higher speeds, with additional grade separations would improve road circulation, and would also be compatible with lightweight equipment operating in the Dumbarton corridor.
- 6. Request that the CHSRA also evaluate an alternative in the Altamont corridor that terminates HSR at a proposed BART Livermore station where HSR passengers could be dispersed to Bay Area locations throughout the BART system, together with improved ACE service to Santa Clara County.
- 7. Request that CHSRA consider seeking additional HSR bond funds dedicated to upgrading the Altamont corridor for regional service.

<u>RESOLVED</u>, that copies of this resolution be transmitted to the California High-Speed Rail Authority; the mayors of San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose; and other interested parties.

<u>FURTHER RESOLVED</u>, this resolution supersedes Resolution No. 3198.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Bill Dodd, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at a regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on October 24, 2007.